RIVERSIDE INDUSTRIAL PARK NEWARK, NJ

### **Cleanup Activities**

On this page:
Background
What Has Been Done to Clean Up the Site?
What Is the Current Site Status?
On related pages:
Operable Units
Cleanup Progress

### Background

The Riverside Industrial Park <u>Superfund</u> site includes both current and former manufacturing and packaging facilities, some of which are vacant, at 29 Riverside Avenue in Newark, New Jersey. The 7-acre site is located in a mixed residential and commercial/<del>heavy</del>-industrial area. From 1902 to 1971, the property was used for paint, resins, linseed oil, and varnish manufacturing by Patton Paint Company, which merged into the Paint and Varnish Division of Pittsburgh Plate Glass Company in 1920. Pittsburgh Plate Glass Company changed its name to PPG Industries, Inc. (PPG) in 1968. <u>Metal pigments were brought to the site for manufacturing of paints, including lead.</u> From the 19716s to the present day, the site was subdivided into fifteen lots, and the property has been used by various companies for a variety of businesses from chemical packaging to chemical and cosmetics manufacturing. Although this is currently an active industrial park, there are several abandoned portions of the property, which are owned by the City of Newark through foreclosures.

In October 2009, EPA and the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection responded to a reported oil spill into the Passaic River near the site. The effluent was observed coming from a pipe on the property, and the source was later traced to two basement storage tanks in a vacant building on the site. After investigating the discharge point and source, EPA initiated an emergency removal action to stop the discharge and secure the source. Further investigation of that immediate area within the site led to the discovery of multiple potentially immediate threats including:

- <u>10-several</u> abandoned 12,000- to 15,000-gallon underground storage tanks containing hazardous wastes in a tank farm adjacent to the vacant building,
- approximately one hundred 3,000- to 10,000-gallon above-ground storage tanks with a number of 55-gallon drums,
- smaller containers in two buildings on-site, and
- hazardous liquid and sludge in two basement vaults of one of the vacant buildings.

From 2009 through 2014, EPA's short-term cleanup program conducted several quick cleanup activities to eliminate the immediate threats identified as a result of the investigation of the oil spill into the Passaic River. After taking immediate action to protect human health and the environment and performing site investigations, EPA proposed the site for listing on the National Priorities List in September 2012. Riverside Industrial Park was finalized on the National Priorities List in May 2013. In May 2014, EPA entered into a legal agreement with PPG, one of the 18 Potentially Responsible Parties

identified at the site, to perform a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS). The RI/FS is ongoing. In 2017, EPA approved a Work Plan prepared by PPG for the RI/FS. PPG performed site-wide remedial investigation (RI) field work with EPA oversight between 2017 and 2019. During this time, EPA also conducted an additional emergency response action to remove debris, asbestos, and biohazard labeled medical waste illegally disposed on-site. In April 2020, EPA approved the RI Report documenting the nature and extent of contamination at the site. EPA approved FS Report in July 2020, which lists preliminary remedial goals for contaminants in the soil and groundwater along with possible remedial alternatives. In July 2020, EPA issued the Proposed Plan with preferred remedial alternative to address soil, vapor intrusion, and groundwater contamination at the site along with on-site waste removal and cleaning and closing an inactive sewer line.

### What Has Been Done to Clean Up the Site?

Immediate Actions: In 2009, a spill of oily material into the Passaic River was reported and traced back to a pipe on the property. EPA investigated and discovered that chemicals, including benzene, mercury, chromium, and arsenic, were improperly stored at the site. In assessing the areas of the site adjacent to the discharge source, EPA discovered multiple potentially immediate threats to human health and the environment, including numerous storage tanks, both above and below ground, containing a variety of hazardous industrial wastes and solvents. Two underground tanks and most of the other containers were removed by EPA in 2012. The two basement vaults were emptied of the hazardous liquid and sludge in 2014. Additional action was taken in 2017 to remove debris, asbestos, and biohazard labeled medical waste illegally disposed near Buildings #7 and #12.

Long-term Cleanup: Sampling during those initial investigations revealed that soil, groundwater, and storage tanks at the site are contaminated with <u>volatile organic compounds (VOCs)</u>, <u>semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs)</u>, metals, and <u>polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)</u>. Certain VOCs are probable human carcinogens and PCBs are potential cancer-causing chemicals that persist in the environment and can affect the immune, reproductive, nervous, and endocrine systems of people and animals. These risks are being assessed and EPA will determine what additional work is needed in order to reduce or eliminate potential threats from the site.

Following the RI, EPA determined that the soil and groundwater at Riverside were contaminated at levels that may cause potential risk to human health and the ecosystem either under current, foreseeable future, and hypothetical future land use scenarios in the absence of additional controls or remedial actions. Soil and groundwater contamination may also present unacceptable risk to future indoor workers from vapor intrusion into future buildings that may be constructed at Riverside. Relative to NJDEP's non-residential soil standards, EPA identified the following chemicals of potential concern (COPCs) in soil: metals (arsenic, copper, and lead), PCBs, VOCs, and SVOCs. Relative to NJDEP's groundwater standards, EPA also identified the following COPCs in groundwater: metals (arsenic, lead, and selenium), VOCs, and SVOCs. Surface soils also contained elevated concentrations of VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs, metals, and dioxins that could pose unacceptable risks to wildlife. PPG also identified containerized waste at Riverside along with free petroleum product in underground storage tanks and surrounding soils as well as pooled petroleum within Building #15. EPA is working in conjunction with NJDEP to address an unregulated discharge to the Passaic River from a pipe along the buikhead adjacent to Building #10.

### What Is the Current Site Status?

The site is being addressed in two stages: immediate actions and a long-term remedial phase focused on cleanup of the entire site.

From 2009 through 2014, EPA took immediate actions to prevent any further discharge into the river by plugging discharge pipes and securing the source. EPA also took immediate actions to eliminate the immediate threats identified during the investigations of the areas adjacent to the source of the discharge.

Additional site investigations and planning for the site's long-term cleanup are ongoing.

EPA released the Proposed Plan for Riverside in July 2020. The Proposed Plan Identifies EPA's preferred alternative for the cleanup at Riverside. The preferred alternative addresses contaminated soils and groundwater as well as other media to prevent or reduce human health and wildlife exposures.

- Soil: Includes a focused excavation of Lead-contaminated soils and petroleum around the perimeter of Building #7 with off-site disposal. The alternative also includes an engineered cap and builkhead replacement to contain any remaining contaminants and prevent further exposures. Deed notices will be modified to restrict future land use, and fencing will be installed to prevent trespassing. This alternative will prevent or reduce leaching of contaminants to the groundwater, and it will prevent or reduce erosion and transport of contaminated soils to the Passaic River.
- Groundwater: Includes a site-wide pumping system to extract contaminated groundwater for
  treatment and off-site disposal. Based on water quality, EPA may choose to implement periodic
  injections to assist with the remediation of the groundwater. This alternative will restore
  groundwater quality, and it will prevent or reduce transport of contaminants to the Passaic
  River.
- Vapor Intrusion: Includes air monitoring in existing, occupied buildings. It also requires future
  buildings to be constructed with a vapor barrier or other technology to seal the ground surface
  underneath the new building slab to prevent vapor intrusion.
- Waste: Includes removal of underground storage tanks near Building #12, petroleum located inside Building #15A, and containerized waste. Waste would be transferred to vehicles for offsite disposal or recycling. This alternative will prevent uncontrolled releases of waste to the environment and prevent exposure.
- Inactive Sewer Pipe: Includes cleaning out and power-washing an inactive manhole and sewer pipe located between Building #9 and the former Building #4. The deposited sediments and remaining water in the manhole will be transferred to vehicles for off-site disposal or recycling. This alternative will prevent uncontrolled releases of waste to the environment and prevent exposure.

A public comment period follows the issuance of the Proposed Plan. EPA will document the selected cleanup remedy and respond to public comments in the Record of Decision.

# **Operable Units**

Below, EPA has provided a list of the remedial actions selected pertaining to each operable unit.

EPA issues Records of Decision (RODs) to explain which cleanup methods will be used at Superfund National Priorities List sites. EPA has two primary documents that it may use to identify changes to the remedies selected in RODs. EPA uses a ROD Amendment when it needs to make a fundamental change to the remedy. EPA publishes an Explanation of Significant Differences (ESD) for significant changes. During cleanup, complex sites may be divided into several distinct areas to make the response more efficient. These areas, called operable units (OUs), may address geographic areas, specific problems, or medium (e.g., groundwater, soil) where a specific action is required. The remedies are displayed for the OU numbers indicated in the original decision document. OU numbers may change over time.

EPA is working to improve data quality. The information presented on this page is undergoing review for accuracy and completeness, and may be subject to change.

OU ID	Name	Decision Document	Cleanup Technologies Selected in the Decision Document
00	SITEWIDE	Not applicable	
01	ENTIRE SITE	No decision document Proposed Plan	

## **Cleanup Progress**

On this page:

- Site Milestones
- Cleanup Schedule by Operable Unit

Cleaning up Superfund sites is a complex, multi-phase process. Learn more:

- Superfund Cleanup Process
- A community guide to EPA's Superfund program (PDF)(12 pp, 454 KB)

## Site Milestones

Milestone	Date(s)
Initial Assessment Completed	02/08/2010
Proposed to the National Priorities List	09/18/2012
Finalized on the National Priorities List	05/24/2013
Remedial Investigation Started	05/09/2014
Remedy Selected	Nov Estimated September 2020
Remedial Action Started	Not Yet Achieved
Construction Completed	Not Yet Achieved
Deleted from National Priorities List	Not Yet Achieved
Most Recent Five-Year Review	Not Yet Achieved
Site Ready for Reuse and Redevelopment	Not Yet Achieved

### Cleanup Schedule by Operable Unit

During cleanup, a site can be divided into a number of distinct areas depending on its complexity. These areas, called operable units (OUs), may address geographic areas, specific problems, or areas where a specific action is required. Examples of typical operable units include construction of a groundwater pump and treatment system or construction of a cap over a landfill.

Select an operable unit. After making a selection, press go to filter the table by operable unit. [dropdown menu by OU]

Search: [by column]

Milestone	Start Date	Completion Date
OU 00 - SITEWIDE		*
Removal (EPA Performed)	12/10/1999	03/02/2000
Removal (EPA Performed)	11/11/2009	11/13/2009
Removal (EPA Performed)	10/17/2011	08/22/2014
Removal (EPA Performed)	09/11/2017	12/20/2017
Removal (EPA Performed)	05/08/2018	07/27/2018
OU 01 - ENTIRE SITE		
Administrative Order of Consent (EPA		05/09/2014
Performed)		
Combined Remedial Investigation/Feasibility	05/09/2014	Estimated Sep Nov July
Study (PRP Performed, EPA Oversight)		2020
Record of Decision		Estimated Sep <u>tember</u> —Nov
		2020
Remedial Design	Estimated Jul - Sep	
	2021	

# Showing 1 to 9 of 9 entries

NOTE: Dates and estimated dates will not display for all milestones. Estimated dates only display for milestones planned within the next three fiscal years. Estimated dates and start dates will not display for the following enforcement milestones: Administrative Order of Consent, Consent Decree and Unilateral Administrative Order. Start dates will not display for the following document milestones: Five-Year Review, Record of Decision, Record of Decision Amendment, Explanation of Significant Differences and Partial NPL Deletion.

DISCLAIMER: The data on this page are derived from the Superfund Enterprise Management System and are solely for informational purposes. The data cannot be relied upon to create any substantive or procedural rights or requirements enforceable by any party in litigation with any member of the public, states, tribes, the United States or any federal agency. EPA reserves the right to change these data at any time without public notice.

# **Health & Environment**

On this page:

- What Are the Risks at the Site?
- Contaminant Information
- Performance Measures

## What Are the Risks at the Site?

Following the RI, EPA determined that the soil and groundwater at Riverside were contaminated at levels that may cause potential risk to human health and the ecosystem either under current, foreseeable future, and hypothetical future land use scenarios in the absence of additional controls or remedial actions. Soil and groundwater contamination may also present unacceptable risk to future

indoor workers from vapor intrusion into future buildings that may be constructed at Riverside. Relative to NJDEP's non-residential soil standards, EPA identified the following chemicals of potential concern (COPCs) in soil: metals (arsenic, copper, and lead), PCBs, VOCs, and SVOCs. Relative to NJDEP's groundwater standards, EPA also identified the following COPCs in groundwater: metals (arsenic, lead, and selenium), VOCs, and SVOCs. Surface soils also contained elevated concentrations of VOCs, SVOCs, PCBs, metals, and dioxins that could pose unacceptable risks to wildlife. The immediate cleanup actions have reduced some of the risks associated with the site. The RI/FS will determine what additional work needs to occur to reduce or eliminate the remaining risks.

### Contaminant List

No Contaminants data available for the site.

<u>Preliminary Remedial Goals (PRGs) established for the site-related soil contaminants of concern (COCs)</u> <u>are identified in Table 1.</u>

Table 1: Si	te PRGs for Soil
Soll COC	PRG (milligrams/kilogram, (mg/kg))
<u>Lead</u>	<u>800</u>
<u>Copper</u>	<u>526</u>
Naphthalene (Vapor Intrusion)*	<u>0.62</u>
Naphthalene (Soil)*	<u>17</u>
<u>TCE</u>	<u>0.02</u>
<u>Total Xylenes</u>	<u>6.5</u>
Arsenic	<u>19</u>
<u>Total PCBs</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Berizene</u>	<u>5</u>
Benzo(a)anthracene	<u>17</u>
Benzo(a)pyrene	2
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	<u>17</u>
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	<u>2</u>
Vinyl chloride	<u>2</u>

<sup>\*</sup>Naphthalene has two PRGs, one to address vapor intrusion and another to address soils. Where these two PRGs overlap in the remedial footprint the more conservative value will be used.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri, 10 pt

For the Site, NJDEP groundwater quality standards (GWQS) are equal to, or more stringent than the maximum contaminant loads (MCLs) and have been selected as the PRGs for site related COCs in groundwater (Table 2).

Table 2: Site PRGs for Groundwater

Groundwater COCs	PRG (micrograms/liter (ug/L))
<u>Lead</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Acetone</u>	<u>6,000</u>
<u>Benzene</u>	1
<u>Ethylbenzene</u>	<u>700</u>
Methylene chloride	<u>3</u>
Tetrachloroethylene	1
<u>Toluene</u>	<u>600</u>
<u>Trichloroethylene</u>	1
Vinyl chloride	1
<u>Total Xylene</u>	<u>1,000</u>
Cresol, p-	<u>50</u>
Benzo(a)anthracene	<u>0.1</u>
Benzo(a)pyrene	<u>0.1</u>
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.2
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	3
Dioxane, 1,4-	0.4
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.2
Methylnapthalene, 2-	30

PRGs were not assigned for 1,1,2-Trichloroethane in groundwater. The presence of this contaminant in groundwater will be confirmed during the remedial design. As required, a site-related PRG will be assigned if the contaminant is verified to be associated with the USTs.

# Performance Measures

EPA uses performance measures to track environmental results at Superfund sites. If you have any questions or concerns about the measures at this site, please contact the site team members listed under Site Contacts.

Read more about Superfund Remedial Performance Measures.

Per		
for		What
ma		does
nc	Status at this	this
е	Superfund Site	mean
Me		?
asu		
re		
		Yes
		mean s
		asses
		smen
		ts
		indica
	LUNEDLINE	te
	"javascript:window.open(%22%22,%20%22Human%20Exposure%20Status%22,%20%22width	that
	=600,%20height=400,%20resizable=1,%20scrollbars=1%22).document.write(%22As%20of%20	acros
		entire
	Osite-	site:
	wide%20human%20exposure%20status%20at%20the%20Riverside%20Industrial%20Park%20	1.
Hu	Site.%20%20A%20Remedial%20Investigation/Feasibility%20Study%20(RI/FS)%20is%20curren	
ma n	tly%20being%20conducted%20to%20determine%20the%20nature%20and%20extent%20of%	
Ex	20contamination.%20Sampling%20of%20the%20wastes,%20soil%20and%20groundwater%2	
pos	0 Oindicates % 20 the % 20 presence % 20 of % 20 numerous % 20 contaminants % 20 including % 20 polyc	
ure	hlorinated%20biphenyls%20(PCBs),%20metals,%20volatile%20organic%20compounds%20(V	
Un	OCs)%20and%20semi-	
der	volatile%20organic%20compounds%20(SVOCs).%20Once%20the%20RI%20is%20completed,	
Со	%20a%20risk%20assessment%20will%20be%20prepared%20to%20determine%20the%20pot	
ntr	ential%20impact%20to%20human%20health%20and%20the%20environment.In%20addition, %20EPA%20currently%20ensures%20community%20participation%20throughout%20the%20	
ol	remedial%20process%20by%20meeting%20with%20residents%20and%20affected%20stakeh	
	olders,%20issuing%20public%20notices%20and%20updating%20fact%20sheets%20which%2	
	Oare%20available%20on%20the%20web.%20%20Additionally,%20an%20EPA%20Community	
	%20Involvement%20Coordinator%20is%20assigned%20to%20the%20site%20and%20can%20	
	address%20specific%20community%20concerns%20as%20they%20arise.%22};"\o	
	"Insufficient data to determine human exposure control status" ]-No	
	'	

Status at this Superfund Site	What does this mean ?
	2.

Per		
for		
ma		What
		does
	Superfund Site	this
о Ме		mean
		?
asu		
re		

Per		
for		What
ma		does
	Status at this	this
	Sunartund Site	mean
Ме		?
asu		
re		
		No
		mean
		s an
		unsaf
		e
		level of
		conta
		minat
		ion
		has
		been
		detec
		ted at
		the site
		and a
		reaso
		nable
		ехрес
		tation
		exists
		that
		peopl
		e could
		be
		expos
		ed.
		Insuff
		icient
		data

Per		
for		What
ma		does
nc		
e	Sunartund Sita	this
Ме		mean
asu		?
re		
		s that, due to uncer
		tainty regar ding expos ures,
		one cann ot draw
		concl usion s as to
		whet her huma n
		expos ures are contr olled,
		typica lly beca use: 1.
		1.

Status at this Superfund Site	What does this mean ?
	2.

Status at this Superfund Site	What does this mean ?	

Per		
for		
ma		What
		does
	Superfund Site	this
о Ме		mean
		?
asu		
re		

Per		
for		
ma		What
		does
	Superfund Site	this
о Ме		mean
		?
asu		
re		

	Status at this Superfund Site	What does this mean ?
Gr ou nd wa ter Mi gra	<u>Noinsufficient Data</u>	Yes mean s EPA revie wed all infor matio

Per		1
rer for		
1		What
ma		does
1	Status at this	this
1	Superfund Site	mean
Me		?
asu		
re		
tio		n on
n		know
Un		n and
der		reaso
Со		nably
ntr		expec
ol		ted
UI		groun
		dwat
		er
		conta
		minat
		ion.
		EPA
		concl
		uded
		the
		migra
		tion
		of
		conta
		minat
		ed
		groun
		dwat
		er is
		stabili
		zed and
		1
		there is no
		1
		unacc eptab
		le le
		ie disch
		1
		arge to
		surfa
		ce
1		CE

Per		
for		
ma		What
1		does
	Superfund Site	this
4		mean
Me		?
asu		
re		
		water
		. EPA
		will
		cond
		uct
		monit
		oring
		to
		confir
		m
		that
		affect
		ed
		groun
		dwat
		er
		remai
		ns in
		the
		origin
		al
		area
		of
		conta
		minat
		ion.
		No
		mean
		s EPA
		has
		revie
		wed
		all
		infor
		matio
		n on
		know
		n and

Per		
for		
ma		What
	Status at this	does
e	Superfund Site	this
Me		mean
asu		?
re		
re		
		reaso
		nably
		expec
		ted
		groun
		dwat
		er
		conta
		minat
		ion,
		and
		the
		migra
		tion
		of
		conta
		minat
		ed
		groun
		dwat
		eris
	·	not
		stabili
		zed.
		Insuff
		icient
		data
		mean
	·	s that
		due
	i contraction of the contraction	to
		uncer
		tainty
		regar ding
		: :
	·	conta
		minat
		ed

Per		
for		
ma		What
	Status at this	does
	Superfund Site	this
e Me		mean
1		?
asu		
re		
		groun
		dwat
		er
		migra
		tion,
		EPA
		cann
		ot
		draw
		concl
		usion
		s as
		to
		whet
		her
		the
		migra
		tion
		of
		conta
		minat
		ed
		groun
		dwat
		eris
		stabili
		zed.
		Yes
Со		mean
nst		s the
ruc		physi
tio		cal
1	No	const
Со		ructio
1		n of
mp		
let		the
е		clean
		up is

Per		
for		
ma		What
	Status at this	does
	Superfund Site	this
Me		mean
asu		?
re		
ad		1.
у		1.
for		
Ant		
icip		
ate		
d		
Us		
e		

Per			i
for			
ma		What	:
		does	į
		this	i
	Superfund Site	mean	
Ме		?	i
asu		•	
re			į
			į
			į
			i
			i
			i
			1
			į
			i
			į
			į
			i
			i
			i
			i
			i
			i
			į
			į
			į
			i
			į
			i
			i
			i
			į
			1
			1
			-
			:

Per			i
for			
ma		What	:
		does	į
		this	i
	Superfund Site	mean	
Ме		?	i
asu		•	
re			į
			į
			į
			i
			i
			i
			1
			į
			i
			į
			į
			i
			i
			i
			i
			i
			i
			į
			į
			į
			i
			į
			i
			i
			i
			į
			1
			1
			-
			:

e Me asu	Status at this Superfund Site	What does this mean ?	
re			
		2.	

Per			i
for			
ma		What	:
		does	į
		this	i
	Superfund Site	mean	
Ме		?	i
asu		•	
re			į
			į
			į
			i
			i
			i
			1
			į
			i
			į
			į
			i
			i
			i
			i
			i
			i
			į
			į
			į
			i
			į
			i
			i
			i
			į
			1
			1
			-
			:

Per		
for		
ma		What
		does
	Superfund Site	this
		mean
Ме		?
asu		
re		
		3.

Per		
for		
ma		What
4		does
		this
	Superfund Site	mean
Ме		?
asu		
re		
		NI -
		No
		mean
		s that
		one
		or
		more
		of
		these

Per		
for		1411 .
ma		What
nc		does
	Superfund Site	this
Me		mean
asu		?
re		
		three
		criteri
		a
		have
		not
		been
		met.
		Howe
		ver, a
		site
		listed
		as <b>no</b>
		may
		still
		have
		redev
		elop
		ment
		occur
		ring
		on 
		porti
		ons of the
		or the site
		and
		may
		be
		eligibl
		e for
		additi
		onal
		redev
		elop
		ment

# Stay Updated, Get Involved

On this page:

· Announcements and Key Topics

### **Announcements and Key Topics**

To View the Community Update Fact Sheet for June 2017: click here and to view the current Fact Sheet from July 2020: click here.

EPA has released its Proposed Plan for the Riverside Industrial Park Superfund Site, explaining EPA's preferred alternative for the cleanup at Riverside. EPA relies on public input to ensure that the concerns of the community are considered in selecting an effective remedy. The community is encouraged to review the Proposed Plan and submit comments.

### Redevelopment

On this page:

- About the Superfund Redevelopment Initiative
- Economic Activity at the Site

About the Superfund Redevelopment Initiative

This nationally coordinated effort provides EPA and its partners with a process to return Superfund sites to productive use. Learn more at Superfund Redevelopment Initiative.

# **Economic Activity at the Site**

As of December 2019, EPA had data on 10 on-site businesses. These businesses employed 80 people and generated an estimated \$37,352,000 in annual sales revenue. View additional information about redevelopment economics at Superfund sites.

## Site Documents & Data

On this page:

- Reports and Documents
- Administrative Records
- Site Data
- Public Information Repositories

### **Reports and Documents**

Note: Large collections may take longer to load. SPP Public Available Documents (1 documents) SPP Technical Reports and Studies (1 documents)

# **Administrative Records**

Note: Large collections may take longer to load. FY2011 REMOVAL AR Update (7 documents) FY2018 REMOVAL AR RV5 (5 documents)

### Site Data

Other Site Name:

In addition to RIVERSIDE INDUSTRIAL PARK, this site has also been referred to as: RIVERSIDE AVENUE SITE

National Priorities List Status: Final

The National Priorities List is intended primarily to guide EPA in determining which sites warrant further

investigation. Learn more about the NPL.

City and State: NEWARK, NJ

County: ESSEX

Congressional District: 08 EPA ID: NJSFN0204232

**Public Information Repositories** 

EPA provides space for the public to view records related to Superfund work at the site.

All documents and reports can be reviewed in person at the following locations location:

Newark Public Library, New Jersey Reference Section, 5 Washington Street, Newark, New Jersey 07101,

Phone: (973) 733-7784, Hours: Mon., Fri., Sat.: 9 a.m. – 5:15 p.m., Tues., Wed., Thurs.: 9 a.m. – 8:15 p.m.

U.S. EPA, Region 2, Superfund Records Center, 290 Broadway, 18th Floor, New York, NY 10007-1866,

Phone: (212) 637-4308, Hours: Mon. – Fri.: 9 a.m. – 5 p.m.

#### **Site Contacts**

Do you still have questions about the site? If so, reach out to us.
Community Involvement Coordinator:
Shereen Kandil
(212) 637-4333
Remedial Project Manager:
Josh Smeraldi
(212) 637-4302